Order below Exh. 1 in Cri. Bail Application No. 303/2022

(Aniket Kiran Shiledar Vs. State)

Perused application. The applicant and his learned Counsel remained absent when this application was taken up for perusal.

- 2. By this third bail application, the applicant seeks pre-arrest bail under section 438 of the Cr.P.C. from being arrested in Crime No.57/2020 registered with Upnagar Police Station, Nashik under sections 420, 406, 468, 504, 506 r/w.34 of the Indian Penal Code.
- 3. On going through the record, it is conscious that already two bail applications under section 438 of Cr.P.C. vide Criminal Bail Application nos. 240/2020 and 1367/2021 of duo accused inclusive present one came to be rejected on merits wherein all the grounds complained of were already dealt with by me and my brother Judge.
- 4. It is well settled that, the successive bail application, on the same facts under section 438 is not tenable. However, unnecessarily, the applicant has abused the process.

5. In view of above, I see application is devoid of merits. Hence, order : -

ORDER

Application is rejected with directions to applicant to pay compensatory costs of Rs.2000/- (Two thousands) to be deposited with DLSA Office, Nashik.

March 7, 2022.

(Vikas S. Kulkarni) Additional Sessions Judge, Nashik.